HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)

March 1997

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT)

HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)

An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson 2375 130th Avenue NE Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98005

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy Bonneville Power Administration Environment, Fish and Wildlife P.O. Box 3621 Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2 Contract Number 95AC49468

March 1997

CONTENTS

Section	1 Executive Summary1-1
Section	n 2 Facility Description2-1
Section	n 3 Compliance Status3-1
Section	n 4 Remedial Actions4-1
Section	5 Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries5-1
Section	n 6 Annual Operating Expenditures6-1
	List of Tables
Table	
1	Summary Program Information for Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)
2	Compliance with Performance Measures: Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)
3	Remedial Actions Required at Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)
4	Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)
5	Annual Operating Expenses: Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)
6	Annual Operating Expenses - Oak Springs Hatchery

Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock) program. The hatchery is located on the Deschutes River about 9 miles from Maupin, Oregon. The hatchery is used for incubation and rearing of summer steelhead, rearing of winter steelhead, and maintenance of two resident rainbow trout broodstock.

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) "Strategy for Salmon" and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit.

IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility management's response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.
- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock) Results

The Oak Springs facility includes 4 ponds for rainbow trout broodstock holding, 8 concrete raceways, 2 Burrow's ponds, 5 circular ponds 14 rearing ponds, and incubation facilities. The facility, which is operated with state funds, produces steelhead and resident trout.

The Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock) program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery needed to document its pre-spawning survival, egg-take. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with water quality monitoring requirements, alarm requirements, double screening of rearing units, predation control requirements, and in-basin acclimation or rearing, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery needed to develop specific rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan, and develop a smoltification goal and monitoring plan. The hatchery needed to verify compliance with rearing standards. The hatchery was also not in compliance with all the feed storage, transportation, and hatchery sanitation procedures.

The specific areas in which the Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock) program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Check flow alarm daily and other alarms weekly
- Check temperature of moist pellets at delivery
- Conduct fishery contribution studies
- Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
- Develop alarm logs
- Develop smoltification goal and monitor
- Develop specific rearing standards for IHOT Operations Plan
- Disinfect fish pumps, nets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses, and other equipment
- Document pre-spawning mortality, egg take.
- Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of vehicle interiors and exteriors
- Follow IHOT temperature criteria for transport
- Install security alarms
- Install alarms on intake, large rearing ponds, raceway headboxes and rearing ponds
- Install outside system and buzzer
- Install telephone pages
- Insulate feed hoppers and bulk storage facilities
- Monitor and record DO and TGP
- Provide double screens on raceways and ponds
- Provide effective predation control
- Provide rearing or acclimation in the subbasins
- Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants
- Verify compliance with rearing standards
- Wear protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

Facility Description

Name: Oak Springs Hatchery

Stock/Species: Summer Steelhead

Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock) Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)

Rainbow Trout

Operating Agency: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife

Funding Agency: ODFW

Location: The hatchery is located on the Deschutes River about 9 miles from

Maupin, Oregon

Address: 85001 Oak Springs Road

Maupin, OR 97037

Hatchery Manager: Mr. Randy Robart

Phone: (541) 395-2546 **Fax:** (541) 395-2595

Purpose: The facility, which is operated with state funds, produces steelhead and

resident trout.

Production Goal: Summer Steelhead

Produce 225,000 fingerlings (2,350 lb) for transfer to South Santiam

Hatchery

Produce 170,000 fingerlings (1,250 lb) for transfer to Gnat Creek

Hatchery

Produce 270,000 fingerlings (1,000 lb) for transfer to South Santiam

Hatchery

Produce 75,000 smolts (15,000 lb) for release into the Salmon/Zigzag

River System

Produce 60,460 smolts (12,000 lb) for release into the Hood River

Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock)

Produce 40,000 smolts (8,000 lb) for transfer to Clackamas River

Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)

Produce 50,000 smolts (10,000 lb) for release to Hood River

Rainbow Trout

Produce 3,820,000 eggs and 131,134 lb of fish for various ODFW programs

Water Supply: The present water delivery system can deliver approximately 11,670

gpm to the hatchery. Some mixing with re-use water occurs from one

pond series to another.

Facilities:

Adult Holding: 2 brood ponds for rainbow trout broodstock - 10,818 cf each

2 lower ponds for rainbow trout broodstock - 7,207 cf each

Incubation: 12 16-tray vertical stack incubators - 192 trays

Early Rearing: None

Raceways: 2 Burrow ponds - 2680 cf each

8 raceways - 3,481 cf each

Rearing Ponds: 5 circular ponds - 2,120 cf each

9 north ponds - 9,433 cf each

5 lower ponds for rainbow trout - 7,207 cf each

Satellite Facilities: None

Compliance Status

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries* (referred to as *IHOT 1995* in this report). The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

Section 1	Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure Information (PMs General 1-2)
Section 2	Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)
Section 3	Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)
Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments.

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit.

¹Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

This process consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the Oak Springs Hatchery was conducted on March 10, 1997.

The following is the five-step audit process:

- 1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
- 2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.
- 3. A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.
- 4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
- 5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

Compliance Status of Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (\checkmark) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock) program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- N/A (not applicable)
- Yes (in compliance)
- ? (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

Table 1 Summary Program Information for Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)

Component		Location	of Adult Holding, Spa	awning, Incubation,	and Rearing	
	Powerdale Dam	Parkdale Fish Facility (under construction)	Umatilla/Irrigon Hatcheries	Oak Springs Hatchery	Hood River	
Adult Collection	~					
Adult Holding		~				
Spawning		~				
Fertilization		~				
Incubation						
green-to-eyed			~			
eyed-to-hatch			~			
Rearing						
fry			~			
fingerlings				V		
smolts				V		
Release					~	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Statu	s	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin agement plan?	-	~			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan	
e hatchery operating under a current hatchery ational plan?		~			IHOT Operations Plan, ODFW Fish Production Schedule, and Oak Springs Hatchery O&M Manual	
; it understood by staff?		~				
; it being followed?		~				
hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?						
o you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan?		~			Hood River Production Master Plan	
It contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and hery	~				New program. No data yet.	
It pre-spawning survival as compared with established			~		No data provided	Document pre-spawning survival
-take as compared with established hatchery goal			V		No data provided	Document egg-take
en-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with established	~				Incubation at Umatilla/Irrigon Hatcheries	
d-egg to fry survival as compared with established goal	~				Incubation at Umatilla/Irrigon Hatcheries	
to smolt survival as compared with established goal		~			Review of records; in compliance 3 out of last 3 years	
luction as compared with established goal		~			Review of records; in compliance 3 out of last 3 years	
ent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with blished goal	~				New program. No data yet.	
aber of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults to meet nwide needs	V				Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Statu	S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	
perature						
oes your water temperature meet the criteria for spawning?	~				Spawning at Parkdale Hatchery	
oes your water temperature meet the criteria for incubation?	~	<u>.</u>			Incubation at Umatilla/Irrigon Hatcheries	
Ooes your water temperature meet the criteria for rearing?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
olved gases						
the oxygen level near saturation?			~		No data	Monitor DO and record
the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?		ļ	~		No data	Monitor TGP and record
mistry						
.mmonia (un-ionized)			•		No data	Run analysis
arbon Dioxide			<i>V</i>	ļ	See above	See above
Phlorine			<i>'</i>		See above See above	See above See above
H	 		7	ŀ	See above	See above
'opper lydrogen Sulfide			~		See above	See above
on		İ	~		See above	See above
inc			~		See above	See above
bidity						
oes your turbidity meet the criteria?			~		No data	See above

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Status	s	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	
alinity and hardness						
oes your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?			/		No data	Run analysis
ite						
oes your nitrite meet the criteria?			/		No data	Run analysis
ontaminants						
Idrin ndrin vieldrin leptachlor hlordane lethoxychlor indane lalathion iuthion			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		No data See above	Run analysis See above
Adult holding Incubation Early rearing Rearing Others	V V V	**			The hatchery water supply is supplied by several different springs. Adult holding at Parkdale Fish Facility Incubation at Umatilla/Irrigon Hatcheries Early rearing at Umatilla/Irrigon Hatcheries Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure		Complia	ice Statu	s	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	·	
m Systems						
to the following areas have alarms?						
Intake Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds Incubation facilities Quarantine areas and facilities Water treatment systems Security		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		V V	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install alarms on intake Install alarms on large rearing ponds Install alarms on raceway headboxes and rearing ponds Install security alarms
re there outside systems and buzzers in onsite residences?		Ī		~	Discussion	Install outside system and buzzers
re water flow alarms checked daily?				~	Review of records/Discussion	Check flow alarms daily
re all other alarms checked weekly?				~	Discussion	Check other alarms weekly
there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and naintenance requirements?				~	Review of records/Discussion	Develop alarm log
re telephone pagers used?				~	Discussion	Install telephone pagers
It collection and holding facilities						
o you meet the adult holding criteria?	~				No adult holding on station. Collected at Powerdale Dam, Hood River. Holding done by Hood River District biologists and not audited as part of IHOT Hatchery Audits.	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ce Status	S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ıbation facilities						
ype 1: <u>Vertical stack incubators</u> to you have an adequate number of units for the overall rogram?	•				No incubation at this hatchery	
ype 2: o you have an adequate number of units for the overall rogram?	~					
ring facilities						
ype 1: 19' Canadian troughs to you have an adequate number of units for the overall rogram?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
ype 2: 30' circulars o you have an adequate number of units for the overall rogram?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
ype 3: Rectangular (N series) o you have an adequate number of units for the overall rogram?		V			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
ype 4: Michigan raceways o you have an adequate number of units for the overall rogram?		V			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
ype 5: <u>L series ponds</u> to you have an adequate number of units for the overall rogram?		•			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Status	3	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ening facilities						
o you meet the approach velocity criteria?	~				No wild fish in water supply	
re the fish screens regularly cleaned?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
loes the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?	•				No wild fish in water supply	
re rearing containers double screened for fish that should not e released to adjacent water?				~	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Provide double screens
lator control facilities						
re your predation control facilities effective?				•	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Provide effective predation control

Description of Performance Measure		Compliance Status			Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	<u> </u>	
d storage facilities and quality control						
loes the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods (dry<12%; emi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture) follow food nanufacturer's recommendations?		•			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
loes a regional quality control officer oversee production rocedures and monitor:						
Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients meet specifications?				~	Discussion	Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or other additives?				~	Discussion	See above
Analyze ingredients contained in the final food product to ensure that feed specifications have been met?				~	Discussion	See above
re the foods stored and handled according to the following riteria?						
Moist pellets should not exceed 10°F at point of delivery.			~		Not sampled	Check temperature of moist pellets at delivery
Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding.		~			Discussion	
Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat.		~			Discussion	
Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish.		~			Discussion	
Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive temperatures (80 °F and above).				~	Discussion	Insulate feed hoppers and bulk storage facilities

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Status	S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No]	
ase facilities						
to the release facilities ensure that fish are not subjected to diverse conditions?	~				No onsite releases.	
ution abatement facilities						
to the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal and state egulations (or good engineering practice)?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
re pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?		~			Discussion	
nsportation facilities						
re the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT erformance measures for transportation practices?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	nce Status	S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1 -	
odstock selection practices						
the donor selection process document attached? (PM #40a)		~			Hood River Production Program Master Plan.	
Vas the donor selection outline followed in selecting the atchery broodstock? (PM #40b-c)		~			See above	
wning practices						
Vere the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? (PM #42c-g)	~				Spawning at Parkdale Fish Facility	
lbation practices						
specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery ations plan?	•				No incubation at this hatchery	
incubation practices written?	•				See above	
bation Type 1: <u>Vertical stack</u> (see PM #8) ou meet the loading and flow criteria?	•				See above	
bation Type 2: (see PM #8) ou meet the loading and flow criteria?	~				See above	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Status	S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ring practices						
specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery operations ?				~	Review IHOT Hatchery Operations Plan	Develop specific rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
rearing practices written?				~	Review Hatchery Operations Plan	See above
earing Unit Type 1: 19' Canadian troughs (see PM #9) Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?			>		Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	Verify compliance with rearing standards See above
earing Unit Type 2: 30' circulars (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?			7		Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	See above See above
earing Unit Type 3: N series rectangular raceways (see PM 9) Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?			>		Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	See above See above
earing Unit Type 3: Michigan raceways (see PM #9) Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?			V		Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	See above See above
earing Unit Type 3: L series ponds see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria?			~	ļ	Review of records/Discussion	See above
Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?			/		Review of records/Discussion	See above
lt quality						
Do you produce a high quality smolt?		~			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Status	5	Basis for Compliance or Remedial Action Needed for Com Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
health management practices						
re the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being conducted? PM #26)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
re the annual broodstock inspections being conducted? (PM 27)		~			Broodstock at Parkdale Hatchery	
there pathogen-free water (PM #5h) and are the sanitation rocedures being followed? (PM #28)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
re the following water quality parameters within criteria? PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature Dissolved gases Chemistry Turbidity Alkalinity and hardness Nitrite Contaminants		V	******		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b See PM #5c See PM #5d See PM #5e See PM #5f See PM #5f
re rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)			~		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
re egg and fish transfer/release requirements met? (PM #31)		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure		Complian	ice Status	S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
s hatchery performance meet requirements outlined in regional hatchery policies and in subbasin and hatchery is for the following areas?						
cent smoltification To you measure percent smoltification? To you have a smoltification goal To you meet the smoltification criteria?			V	<i>'</i>	Discussion Discussion Discussion	Develop smoltification goal and monitor See above See above
ring density (prior to release)						
bid you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to release? ase condition (at release)			~		No criteria	Develop rearing density criteria
bid you meet all disease regulations just prior to release?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
aber (at release)						
id you meet the release number goal?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
at release						
id you meet the size goal?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
es of release						
oid you meet the release date goal?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
ation of release						
oid you release the fish at the specified location?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the pasin?						
re the fish reared in the subbasin?				~	Discussion	Provide rearing or acclimation in the subbasins
re the fish acclimated in the subbasin?				~	Discussion	Suovasilis
e release strategy appropriate for the program?		~			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Status	s	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
nsportation facilities						
to transportation equipment and personnel receive isinfection before and after use?		~			Discussion	
the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of 200 pm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or formaldehyde as generation method (relative humidity of 60% for 2 hrs)?		•			Discussion	
Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected using high pressure steam (115-130 °C), high temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes?				~	Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of vehicle interiors and exteriors
the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600 ppm uaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50% stock plution/liter water)?				~	Discussion	See above
other equipment disinfected including fish pumps, nets, egg orters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and other equipment sing one of the following solutions?				~	Discussion	Disinfect fish pumps, nets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and other equipment
200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30 minutes 200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes					Discussion	
o personnel wear protective garments when handling fish ggs or cultural water?				~	Discussion	Wear protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water
to the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive an aspection and service prior to the release season?		~			Discussion	
a daily service inspection completed before starting up and eaving for the day?		~			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure		Complian	ce Status	s	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
nsportation facilities						
loes the fish transport unit receive an inspection prior to pading?		~			Discussion	
loes a pre-loading inspection covering tank water level, umps or aerators, oxygen injection system settings, isplacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling density tables hecked and reviewed occur prior to loading fish in the ansport unit?		~			Discussion	
To hauling criteria include checking the fish 45 minutes to 1 our after loading?		~			Discussion	
Then fish are active and systems are functioning properly, is ne oxygen concentration reduced and maintained at pproximately 8 ppm?		~			Discussion	
water temperature in the transportation unit maintained rithin the 42-48°F range?				~	Discussion	Follow IHOT temperature criteria for transport
o fish releasing procedures include the following criteria?						
Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into the correct water body.		~			Discussion	
Tempering or the difference between the liberation tank and the target water body should not exceed 10°F.		~			Discussion	
The liberation hose should be angled so that fish gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle.		~			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Status	S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	
luation practices						
las the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies to:						
Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs?				~	None done for steelhead	Conduct fishery contribution studies
Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?				•	See above	See above
Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used?				•	See above	See above
Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions?				•	See above	See above
Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods?				•	See above	See above

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Status	S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	
ning practices						
Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its staff?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Does each staff member have a personal training plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed annually?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery routinely exchange training details between other hatcheries and agencies?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty training of staff?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Statu	s	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A Yes ? N			No	1	
monthly hatchery monitoring visits being conducted by a lifted fish health specialist as described below?						
onduct visit at least monthly		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Ionitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist		~			Review of records/Discussion	
xamine a representative sample of healthy and moribund fish om each lot.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
eview fish culture practices with hatchery manager.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
eport finding and results of necropsies on standard form.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
ecommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
ummarize fish health status or stock prior to release or ansfer to another facility.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
all of the functions of the hatchery yearly monitoring s being completed as described below?						
nnually examine each broodstock for the presence of eportable viral pathogens.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
nnually screen each salmon broodstock for the presence of enibacterium salmoninarum.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
onduct inspection by or under the supervision of qualified sh health specialist.		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Status	8	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	
e hatchery following accepted sanitation procedures?			-	- 1,0		
are there any sources of pathogen-free water, especially for acubation and early rearing?	~				No incubation or early rearing on station	
are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and being bllowed as described below?						
Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?	~				Incubation at Umatilla/Irrigon Hatcheries	
Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the incubation facility's entrance and exit?	~				Incubation at Umatilla/Irrigon Hatcheries	
Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery?	V				Broodstock collected at Powerdale Dam	
Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with any other fish lots or at any other location?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are dead fish properly disposed of?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Status	5	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
water quality parameters being followed?						
re the following water quality parameters within criteria? PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature Dissolved gases Chemistry Turbidity Alkalinity and hardness Nitrite Contaminants		•	***************************************		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b See PM #5c See PM #5d See PM #5e See PM #5f See PM #5g
incubation and rearing standards being followed? Are the incubation practices following the IHOT incubation criteria? (PM #18) Are the rearing practices following the IHOT criteria? (PM #19)	~		V		Incubation at Umatilla/Irrigon Hatcheries Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		~			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Status	S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
te hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin agement plan?		V			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan	
te hatchery operating under a current hatchery rational plan? To to operational plan PM #2		V			Review IHOT Operations Plan and ODFW Production Schedule	
hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place? To to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3		V			Hood River Production Master Plan and Master Agreement	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Statu	S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	
s the hatchery program meet requirements established in regional hatchery policies and subbasin planning iments in the following areas: species, stock, broodstock ection location, broodstock numbers, broodstock ection strategy, and spawning and egg-take protocols?						
ooes the hatchery program meet the requirements for the ollowing?						
Species protocols (PM #1)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Stock protocols (PM #1)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41b for existing program; PM #39b for new program)	~				Broodstock collected at Powerdale Dam	
Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42c)	•				See above	
Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41b-d for existing program; PM 39b-f for new program)	~				See above	
Spawning protocols (PM #42d-e)	~				See above	
Egg-take protocols (PM #42f-g)	•				See above	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	
s the hatchery's performance meet requirements outlined he regional hatchery policies and in subbasin and hery plans for the following areas: percent smoltification, ing density, disease condition, and the number, size (s), and location of release?						
ercent smoltification (PM #22a1)				~	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a1
earing density (PM #22a2)			~		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a2
disease condition (PM #22a3)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
(umber at release (PM #22a4)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
ize at release (PM #22a5)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
ate of release (PM #22a6)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
ocation of release (PM #22a7)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the pasin?				~	Discussion	See PM #22b
PM #22b te release strategy appropriate for the program? PM #22c		V			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Statu	s	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		Yes	?	No	1	
new programs, has a broodstock collection plan been eloped?						
the broodstock collection plan written?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
or a non-captive broodstock program:	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?						
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	~				Existing Program; does not apply	
or a captive broodstock program:						
Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for propagating the next generation of the captive broodstock program?	~				Existing Program; does not apply	
Were full-sib crosses avoided?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
the broodstock collection plan understood and being bllowed by staff?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
a new program, was the donor selection outline followed electing the hatchery broodstock?						
a donor selection plan written?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Vas the donor selection outline followed in selecting the roodstock?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Vas the target stock recommended in the donor selection rocess actually used?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		5	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
existing programs, were the broodstock collection edures followed?						
the broodstock collection plan written?	~				Broodstock collected at Powerdale Dam	
oes the broodstock collection plan follow the guideline:				!	See above	
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?	~				See above	
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	~			ļ	See above	
Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and followed?	~				See above	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	-	
the appropriate number of spawners, male/female os, and fertilization protocols used?						
re the spawning protocols written?	~				Spawning at Parkdale Hatchery	
re daily or weekly spawning logs available?	~				See above	
Vas the appropriate number of spawners used?	~				See above	
bid you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and undomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits?	~				See above	
Vas the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance andards?	~				See above	
Vere the fertilization protocols followed?	~				See above	
the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, ras this done by representative sampling of each male/female ross?	~				See above	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	
ere a genetics monitoring and evaluation program in e?						
a genetics monitoring and evaluation program available?		~			Hood River Production Plan, Master Agreement.	
loes the plan address the following elements listed in IHOT:				İ	See above	
Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4?		~			See above	
Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)?		~			See above	
Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)?		•			See above	
Is the program understood and followed by staff?		~			See above	

Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

The Five Types of Remedial Actions

	71
Туре	Description
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures
5	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time

Remedial Actions at Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock) program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, <u>suggestions</u> developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates (\pm 40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action. Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs ¹
Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery		
None		
Type 2 - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures		
Document pre-spawning mortality, egg take		4b, 4c
Check flow alarm daily and other alarms weekly		6
Develop alarm logs		6
Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation		12
Check temperature of moist pellets at delivery		12
Develop specific rearing standards for IHOT Operations Plan		19, 22a2
Verify compliance with rearing standards		19
Develop smoltification goal and monitor		22a1
Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of vehicle interiors and exteriors		23
Disinfect fish pumps, nets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses, and other equipment		23
Wear protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water		23
Follow IHOT temperature criteria for transport		23
Conduct fishery contribution studies		24

¹ PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs¹
Type 3 - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval		
Monitor DO and TGP and record		5b
Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants		5c-5g
Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures		
Install alarms on intake, large rearing ponds, raceway headboxes and rearing ponds	\$60,000	6
Install security alarms	\$20,000	6
Install outside system and buzzer	\$2,000	6
Install telephone pages	\$2,000	6
Provide double screens on raceways and ponds	\$7,000	10
Insulate feed hoppers and bulk storage facilities	\$10,000	12
Provide rearing or acclimation in the subbasins	\$2.0 million	22b
Type 5 - Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time		
Provide effective predation control		11

¹ PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock) program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries: Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)

Year	Fisheries ¹ (Broodyear)	Spawning Grounds ¹ (Broodyear)	Hatchery ¹ (Broodyear)	Total Combined Contribution ² (Broodyear)	Smolt to Adult Survival (percent)
1984	(Bioodycui)	(Broodyear)	(Drobaycar)	(Broodycar)	
1985					
1986					
1987	Information not available	Information not available	Information not available	Information not available	Information not available
1988	Information not available	Information not available	Information not available	Information not available	Information not available
1989	Information not available	Information not available	Information not available	Information not available	Information not available
1990	Information not available	Information not available	Information not available	Information not available	Information not available
1991					
1992					

¹ Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

² Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock) program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in separate tables (Table 5a).

Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)

Hatchery	1994	1995	1996
Oak Springs Hatchery	\$19,067	\$23,936	\$46,985
2. Powerdale Dam	?	?	36,000
3. Parkdale Fish Facility	?	?	?
4. Umatilla/Irrigon Hatcheries	small	small	small
Total Program Costs	\$19,067	\$23,936	\$82,985

The total expenditures for the Oak Springs Hatchery are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Tables 6a, 6b, and 6c).

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - Oak Springs Hatchery

Program	1994	1995	1996
1. Summer Steelhead	\$73,835	\$75,863	\$161,661
Winter Steelhead (Clackamas River Stock)	\$19,091	\$19,091	\$37,429
3. Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock	\$19,067	\$23,936	\$46,985
4.			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$111,993	\$118,890	\$246,075

Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: Oak Springs Hatchery - Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)

Expenditure Occurring at Oak Springs

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$219,923	\$219,923	\$220,865
Operational Costs	\$125,111	\$125,111	\$139,555
Capital Costs	\$0	\$0	\$360,420
Indirect Costs	\$60,654	\$60,654	\$75,521
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$405,687	\$405,687	\$796361
Source of Funds			
ODFW	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	8,000	10,000	10,000
Total Production (lb)	170,000	170,000	170,000
Program as Percent of Total	4.7	5.9	5.9
Program Costs	\$19,067	\$23,936	\$46,985

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at Oak Springs Hatchery by Program

Summer Steelhead

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$219,923	\$219,923	\$220,865
Operational Costs	\$125,111	\$125,111	\$139,555
Capital Costs	\$0	\$0	\$360,420
Indirect Costs	\$60,654	\$60,654	\$75,521
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$405,687	\$405,687	\$796361
Source of Funds			
ODFW	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	30,900	31,750	34,481
Total Production (lb)	170,000	170,000	170,000
Program as Percent of Total	18.2%	18.7%	20.3%
Program Costs	\$73,835	\$75,863	\$161,661

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6b. Detailed Expenditures at Oak Springs Hatchery by Program

Winter Steelhead (Clackamas Stock)

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$219,923	\$219,923	\$220,865
Operational Costs	\$125,111	\$125,111	\$139,555
Capital Costs	\$0	\$0	\$360,420
Indirect Costs	\$60,654	\$60,654	\$75,521
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$405,687	\$405,687	\$796361
Source of Funds			
ODFW	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	8,000	8,000	8,000
Total Production (lb)	170,000	170,000	170,000
Program as Percent of Total	4.7%	4.7%	4.7%
Program Costs	\$19,091	\$19,091	\$37,429

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6c. Detailed Expenditures at Oak Springs Hatchery by Program

Winter Steelhead (Hood River Stock)

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$219,923	\$219,923	\$220,865
Operational Costs	\$125,111	\$125,111	\$139,555
Capital Costs	\$0	\$0	\$360,420
Indirect Costs	\$60,654	\$60,654	\$75,521
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$405,687	\$405,687	\$796361
Source of Funds			
ODFW	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	8,000	10,000	10,000
Total Production (lb)	170,000	170,000	170,000
Program as Percent of Total	4.7	5.9	5.9
Program Costs	\$19,067	\$23,936	\$46,985

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.